IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS GALVESTON DIVISION

JING GAO, et al.,	§
Plaintiffs,	§ § §
vs.	§ Civil Action No. 3:16-cv-00323
BLUE RIDGE LANDFILL TX, LP, Defendant.	§ § § §

JOINT MOTION FOR ENTRY OF PROTECTIVE ORDER UNDER FEDERAL RULE OF EVIDENCE 502(d)

Plaintiffs and Defendant, by and through their undersigned attorneys, hereby jointly move the Court for an order of protection under Rule 502(d) of the Federal Rules of Evidence. In support thereof, the parties state as follows:

- 1. Pursuant to Rule 502(d), the parties seek entry of an order providing that the attorney-client privilege and work-product protection is not waived by disclosure of information in documents that are subject to an objection on the basis of the attorney-client privilege and/or work-product protection.
- 2. Rule 502(d) provides: "(d) Controlling Effect of a Court Order. A federal court may order that the privilege or protection is not waived by disclosure connected with the litigation pending before the court in which event the disclosure is also not a waiver in any other federal or state proceeding."

Case 3:16-cv-00323 Document 30 Filed in TXSD on 06/12/17 Page 2 of 3

3. While the parties have filed a separate joint motion for entry of a Stipulated

Protective Order pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c), the parties also seek

this limited protective order pursuant to Rule 502(d) because Federal Rule of Evidence

502(e) provides that a "clawback agreement" reached by the parties under Rule 502(d) is

not effective in other actions unless it is incorporated into a court order. See Fed. R.

Evid. 502(e) ("An agreement on the effect of disclosure in a federal proceeding is binding

only on the parties to the agreement, unless it is incorporated into a court order.").

4. Attached as Exhibit A hereto is a copy of the proposed Rule 502(d) Order

which the parties jointly request the Court enter in this action.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs and Defendants respectfully request that the Court grant

this Motion and enter a protective order pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d)

providing that the attorney-client privilege and work-product protection is not waived by

disclosure of information in documents that are otherwise subject to an objection on the

basis of attorney-privilege or work-product protection.

Dated: June 12, 2017

- 2 -

BY: /s/ Brenton J. Allison

Brenton J. Allison

Texas Bar No. 24040417

Douglas T. Gilman

Texas Bar No. 24048496

Gilman & Allison

2005 Cullen Boulevard

Pearland, Texas 77581

Tel: 713.224.6622 Fax: 866.543.3643

ballison@gilmanallison.com

Nicholas A. Coulson

Michigan Bar No. MI-P78001

David R. Dubin

Michigan Bar No. MI-P52521

Admitted pro hac vice LIDDLE & DUBIN PC

975 E. Jefferson Avenue

Detroit, Michigan 48207-3101

Tel: 313.392.0015 Fax: 313.392.0025

ncouls on @ld class action.com

ddubin@ldclassaction.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS AND THE PUTATIVE CLASS

/s/ Troy Ford

David W. Jones

Texas Bar No. 00790980

Troy Ford

Texas Bar No. 24032181

Owen J. McGovern

Texas Bar No. 24092804

Beck Redden LLP

1221 McKinney Street

Houston, Texas 77010

Tel: 713.951.6222 Fax: 713.951.3720

tford@beckredden.com djones@beckredden.com

omcgovern@beckredden.com

/s/ Robert G. Rooney

William G. Beck, MO Bar No. 26849

Allyson E. Cunningham, MO Bar No. 64802

Admitted Pro Hac Vice

Robert G. Rooney, MO Bar No. 43381

U.S.D.C. S.D. Texas ID No. 3026872

Lathrop & Gage LLP

2345 Grand Boulevard, Suite 2200

Kansas City, Missouri 64108-2618

Tel: 816.292.2000 Fax: 816.292.2001

wbeck@lathropgage.com

rrooney@lathropgage.com

acunningham@lathropgage.com

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that on this 12th day of June, 2017 a true and accurate copy of the foregoing pleading was served via the U.S. District Court ECM/ECF system.

/s/ Robert G. Rooney

Robert G. Rooney Attorney for Defendant